Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
EOEA No.: 13758 MEPA Analyst: Ann Canaday
MEPA Analyst: Ann Canadau
Phone 617-626-1035
1000

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Lobstermen's Landing					
Street: Ballard Street					
Municipality: Saugus	Watershade Ca	D			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Watershed: Saugus River				
Easting: 336902 Northing: 4701998	Latitude: 42°26' 57" Longitude: 70°58'25"				
Estimated commencement date: 9/2006	Estimated comp	oletion date: 4/2007			
Approximate cost: \$550,000	Status of project design: 33% complete				
Proponent: Town of Saugus					
Street: Town Hall, 298 Central Street					
Municipality: Saugus	State: MA	Zip Code: 01906			
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Susan St. Pierre					
Firm/Agency: Vine Associates, Inc.	Street: 253 Low	Street			
Municipality: Newburyport	State: MA	Zip Code: 01950			
Phone: (978) 465-1428 x202 Fax: (97	8) 465-2640	Email:sst.pierre@vineassociates.net			
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?	es (EOEA No.	⊠No			
□Y	es (EOEA No. <u>) Do</u>	you know? No			
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) reque a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	esting:	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No			
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): Seaport Council funds					
Are you requesting coordinated review with any ot ⊠Yes (<u>DEP Waterways for Amended Chapter 91</u>	her federal, state, i	regional, or local agency?			
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Determination; Saugus Conservation Commission Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the pr					

☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☒ ACEC	☐ Rare Speci ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulations	r 📋	Transportati Solid & Haza	Vaterways, & Tidelands ion ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	LAND			
Total site acreage	0.62	0	0.62	Superceding Order
New acres of land altered	n/a	n/a	n/a	of Conditions
Acres of impervious area	.38	0	.38	☐ Chapter 91 License
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration	n/a	n/a	n/a	☐ 401 Water Quality Certification ☐ MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration	1362	496*	1858	
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways	0	0	0	☐ Water Management Act Permit ☐ New Source
STR	UCTURES			Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA
Gross square footage	1989	0	1989	Sewer Connection/
Number of housing units	0	0	0	Extension Permit Other Permits
Maximum height (in feet)	20	0	20	(including Legislative
TRANS	SPORTATION			Approvals) – Specify:
Vehicle trips per day	60	0	60	
Parking spaces	16	0	16	
WATER/	WASTEWATER			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	*	0	*	
GPD water withdrawal	*	*	*	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	*	0	*	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	n/a-	n/a	n/a	
* There will be a net increase of 1. square feet of new floats occupying CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pronatural resources to any purpose not i Yes (Specify_	g the watershee ject involve the o	et. conversion of _l ith Article 97?		·
Will it involve the release of any conserestriction, or watershed preservation [Yes (Specify	ervation restriction restriction?		n restriction, a	gricultural preservation

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority
Sites of Kare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
HICTORICAL IARCHARDA CONTRACTOR IN THE CONTRACTO
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district
listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
Tes (Specily) Mino
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources? ☐Yes ☒No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?
⊠Yes (Specify Rumney Marsh ACEC) □No
/
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site,
(b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and
(c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional
page, if necessary.)
Project Site – The 27,000 square foot Town-owned Lobsterman's Landing/Vitale Memorial Park site is located
at 100 Ballard Street along the Saugus River in Saugus, MA. The site is located in the Rumney Marsh Area
of Critical Environmental Concern. This site is averable death of the site is located in the Rumney Marsh Area
of Critical Environmental Concern. This site is currently developed as a berthing facility with a landside
support building and parking as well as a small park. The proposed improvements to the facility include the
replacement, expansion and minor re-alignment of the floating dock system; installation of a deicer system;
replacement of electrical service pedestals; installation of security cameras; improvements to the support
building including replacement of doors, bait cooler refrigeration system and access slab, and installation of
new heaters in the public bathrooms; and improvements to Vitale Memorial Park including repaving and re-
striping the parking lot; resetting granite curbs, brick walkways and sidewalks; and installation of landscaping;
lighting and signage.
Alternatives – There are no alternatives to the proposed work other than a No Build. Under the No Build
Alternative, the site would remain in a substandard condition.
ricomanyo, the site would remain in a substantiary condition.
On and Off-site Mitigation Measures – The project activities do not result in impacts that require mitigation.
I AND OF CTION III
LAND SECTION – all proponents must fill out this section
I Three-balds / Damesta
I. Thresholds / Permits
 A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1) — Yes X_No; if yes, specify each threshold:
II. Impacts and Permits
A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows:
<u>Existing Change Total</u> Footprint of buildings <u>.046</u> 0 .046
Roadways, parking, and other paved areas 0.38 0 0.38